

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 6-8 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 1,279,844), claims 1, 6, 8, 10 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Magnuson (U.S. Patent No. 4,132,162) and claims 1, 6, 8-10, 12 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Neidigh (U.S. Patent No. 4,827,836). The Examiner identified claims 15-20 as being allowed. The Examiner identified claims 4, 5, 11 and 13 as being objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, the Applicants has incorporated the limitations of the objected to claim 5 into claim and cancelled claim 5 to place the objected to claim in condition for allowance. Applicant believes the amendments made in response to the Examiner's rejections and objections have placed the application in position for allowance.

The amendments to the claims consist of the following:

Claim 1 - Amended claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of claim 5, which was objected to by the Examiner.

Claim 5 - Canceled claim 5 as being incorporated into claim 1 above.

Three (3) independent claims and twenty (20) total claims were included in the original application. One (1) claim is being cancelled and no (0) claims are being added. As a result, no additional fees for claims are believed due.

Response/Amendment
Application No. 10/804,701

- 9 of 10 -

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Allowance of claims 1-4 and 6-20 is solicited.

Dated: August 1, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

By Richard A. Ryan
Richard A. Ryan
Reg. No. 39,014

Customer No. 29762

Richard A. Ryan
Attorney at Law
8497 N. Millbrook, Suite 101
Fresno, CA 93720

Phone: (559) 447-1837
Fax: (559) 447-1042
email: richard@fresnopatentlaw.com